Scantiniv	UNITED STATES ISTRICT OF CAL	STRICT CO	URT	,
Case No. CV 02-7624-1 Title Perfect 10, Inc.		,	January 29, 20	SION
DOCKET ENTRY ===================================	· ====================================		· . ======	
Hon. <u>STEI</u>	PHEN J. HILLMAN ANDRA BUTLER Deputy Clerk		TE JUDGE Number	
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR P		ATTORNEYS PR	ESENT FOR DEFE	ENDANTS:
N/A		,	N/A	

PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS)

Following oral argument, on January 26, 2004, the court now rules on CCBill's and CWIE's two Motions to Compel Production of Documents and two Motion to Compel Interrogatory responses.

The Motions are granted, in part, as follows: Plaintiff is ordered to supplement its document production and interrogatory responses, but only to the extent that supplementation is relevant to Phase One discovery, as set forth in Judge Baird's Order of November 17, 2003.

Therefore, Plaintiff shall supplement its document production and interrogatory responses to include all documents and facts, known and available at this time to Plaintiff, which 1) might be relevant to Defendants in seeking to avail themselves of the safe harbor provisions of the DMCA and/ or the immunity provisions of the CDA; and 2) which Plaintiff intends to rely an impopposing the

JAN 29 2004 BY 2004 046

OUNTER CO.

impending Motion of the Defendants regarding the safe harbor provisions of the DMCA and/or the immunity provisions of the CDA.

The balance of these four Motions are denied without prejudice, as they are overly burdensome and beyond the reasonable scope of Phase One discovery.

The Plaintiff's Request for Sanctions is denied, given the fact that defendants are partially successful in the subject Motions. However, the court is concerned with the greatly overreaching nature of the four Motions, given the bifurcation of discovery as ordered by Judge Baird.

cc:

Judge Baird Judge Hillman Parties of Record 1